

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**In re application of:** White et al.

FILED VIA EFS ON April 11, 2008

**Application No.** 09/870,267

**Filed:** May 29, 2001

**Confirmation No.** 8059

**For:** VIDEO ON DEMAND METHODS AND  
SYSTEMS

**Examiner:** Ngoc K. Vu

**Art Unit:** 2623

**Attorney Reference No.** 3382-56618-01

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Responsive to the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the Notice of Allowability mailed March 13, 2008, the Applicants comment as follows.

The Applicants agree that claims 19-31 are allowable and that the prior art, taken either singly or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the language of these claims, respectively. For each of the allowed claims, to the extent the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance use language not identically appearing in that claim, the Applicants note that the claim is not limited by such language, but rather the actual language of the claim speaks for itself.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  
121 S.W. Salmon Street  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 595-5300  
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By /Genie Lyons/  
Genie Lyons  
Registration No. 43,841